

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/780,636                                | 02/10/2001  | Marc Alan Ehrlich       | ARC920000113US1     | 9342             |  |
| 7590 05/27/2004                           |             |                         | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
| Samuel A. Kassatly<br>6819 Trinidad Drive |             |                         | RHODE JR, ROBERT E  |                  |  |
| San Jose, CA                              |             |                         | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|   |             |                         | 3625                |                  |  |
|   |             | DATE MAILED: 05/27/2004 |                     |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 055-1-1-1   | 09/780,636  | EHRLICH ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Rob Rhode   | 3625  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).            | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 February 2004</u> .  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4 – 9, 11, 14 – 18 and 20 - 26</u> is/ard 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 4 – 9, 11, 14 – 18 and 20 - 26</u> is/ard 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | n from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4)  lnterview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:  | PTO-413)<br>te<br>atent Application (PTO-152)                                   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3625

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2-9-2004 has been entered.

### Response to Amendment

Applicant amendment of 2-9-04 amended claims 1, 4, 9, 11, 14, 18, 20 and 21 - 26 and 22 and canceled claims 2, 3, 10, 12, 13, 19 and 27 – 46. In addition, the applicant traversed rejections of Claims 1 - 46.

Currently, claims 1, 4 – 9, 11, 14 – 18 and 20 - 26 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 4-9, 11, 14-18 and 20 - 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over International Patent Application WO 00/31657 by Van Den Berghe in view of Phillippe (US 6,643,624 B2).

· ' .

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding calim1 and related claims 9, 11 and 18 (Currently amended), Van Den Berghe teaches a system, computer program and method of automating an electronic-commerce transaction using a virtual shopping cart initiated at a host site, comprising: storing information about a plurality of schemas used by a plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites (see at least Abstract and Figure 4). Please note that the word "unrelated" was not defined in the specification. Moreover, the word "unrelated" could not be found in "Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary", Tenth Edition. In that regard and for examination purposes, the word "unrelated" was interpreted as online commerce sites, which are independent businesses entities with separate legal ownership and thereby "unrelated".

However, Van Den Berghe does not specifically disclose and teach system, computer program and method of creating the virtual shopping cart based on a user's search query; monitoring the content of the virtual shopping cart; and collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites, across multiple independent transaction sessions initiated by the host site, based on the user's search query, and returning a corresponding comparative search result, without requiring the user to leave the host site to visit the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites while the comparison shopping engine is automatically collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites; and selectively communicates with the plurality of heterogeneous and

Art Unit: 3625

unrelated merchants' sites using at least one of the schemas in the merchant schema database in order to execute a transaction for each item in the virtual shopping cart.

On the other hand, Phillippe teaches a system, computer program and method of creating the virtual shopping cart based on a user's search query; monitoring the content of the virtual shopping cart (see at least Abstract and Figures 3A & B); and collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites, across multiple independent transaction sessions initiated by the host site, based on the user's search query, and returning a corresponding comparative search result, without requiring the user to leave the host site to visit the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites while the comparison shopping engine is automatically collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites (see at least Abstract, Col 2, lines 49 – 53, Col 3, lines 1 - 24 and Figures 1C & 2C); and selectively communicates with the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites using at least one of the schemas in the merchant schema database in order to execute a transaction for each item in the virtual shopping cart (see at least Abstract and Figure 3C).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the system, computer program and method of Van Den Berghe with the system, computer program and method of Phillippe to have enabled of automating an electronic-commerce transaction using a virtual shopping cart initiated at a host site,

Art Unit: 3625

comprising: storing information about a plurality of schemas used by a plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites; creating the virtual shopping cart based on a user's search query; monitoring the content of the virtual shopping cart; and collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites, across multiple independent transaction sessions initiated by the host site, based on the user's search query, and returning a corresponding comparative search result, without requiring the user to leave the host site to visit the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites while the comparison shopping engine is automatically collecting and managing information from the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites; and selectively communicates with the plurality of heterogeneous and unrelated merchants' sites using at least one of the schemas in the merchant schema database in order to execute a transaction for each item in the virtual shopping cart -in order to have a search capability with a universal shopping cart that provides shopping and necessary transactions across numerous online sites. Van Den Berghe discloses a virtual shopping cart at a host site, which stores information (Abstract). Phillippe teaches a shopping cart, which searches out the best price item from numerous sites and stores the information for the shopper as well as provides the transaction method to execute a transaction (Col 2, lines 48 - 53 and Figures 1C, 2C & 3B). Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to extend the system, computer program and method of Van Den Berghe to have enabled a shopping cart, which searches out the best price item from numerous sites and stores the information for the shopper as well as provides the transaction method to execute a

Art Unit: 3625

transaction. In this manner, the customer's satisfaction will be increased with the ease of searching and buying, which will increase the probability that they will return for additional shopping in the future as well as recommend the site to others.

Regarding claim 4 and related claims 14 and 20 (Currently amended), Van Den Berghe teaches a system, computer program and method, further comprising maintaining the plurality of data structures necessary and the plurality of schemers (Abstract, Page 3, lines 31 – 34 and Figure 4).

Regarding claim 5 and related claim 15 (Previously presented), Van Den Berghe teaches a system, computer program and method, further comprising storing a plurality of data structures necessary to manage a plurality of shopping carts and items contained in the plurality of shopping carts (Abstract, Page 3, lines 30 – 34 and Figure 4).

Regarding claim 6 and related claim 16 (Previously presented), Van Den Berghe teaches a system, computer program and method, wherein storing information about the plurality of schemas comprises storing information about the plurality of merchants, their communication protocols, and how any one or more of the following functions are implemented for each merchant (Abstract, Page 13, line 27 and Figures 4 & 5): add function, that adds an item to the virtual shopping cart (Page 14, lines 5 – 11); checkout function, that guides the shopper through a check out

Art Unit: 3625

process; account retrieval feature, that provides account information; confirm order feature, that confirms a shopper's order; order confirmation feature, that receives order confirmation data (Page 14, lines 21 - 25 and lines 13 - 15). Please note that Van Den Berghe does not specifically disclose a confirm order feature. However, Phillippe does disclose a confirmation step (Figure 3C). In that regard, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add a confirm order feature.

Regarding claim 7 and related claim 17 (Previously presented), Van Den Berghe teaches a system, computer program and method, wherein collecting and managing information comprises parsing and analyzing the shopping request related to an item in the virtual shopping cart, to retrieve a corresponding merchant protocol (Abstract and Figure 4).

Regarding claim 8, Van Den Berghe teaches a system, wherein the corresponding merchant protocol is HTTP, and information related to the item in the virtual shopping cart is encoded, at least in part, in HTML (Figure 1).

Regarding claims 21 – 26 (Currently amended), Phillippe teaches establishing a user profile database including claimed features and thus incorporation of such into the system, computer program and method of Van Den Berghe would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill.

Art Unit: 3625

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 46 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rob Rhode** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-8230**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Friday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeff Smith** can be reached on **(703) 308-3588**.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

**Commissioner for Patents** 

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7418 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

Art Unit: 3625

Page 9

## "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

RER

Defirey A. Smith Primary Examiner